Adaptive meshfree method for thermo-fluid problems with phase change
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Abstract
In the present paper recently developed local meshfree method solution of thermo-fluid problems [1] is modified from the collocation to the combined collocation and weighted least squares approach and upgraded with an h-adaptive strategy. A one domain enthalpy formulation is used for modelling the solid-liquid energy transport and the liquid phase is assumed to behave as an incompressible Newtonian fluid modelled by the Boussinesq hypothesis. The involved temperature, enthalpy, velocity and pressure fields are represented on overlapping local sub-domains through weighted least squares approximation (by a truncated Gaussian weight in the domain nodes) and collocation (at the boundary nodes) by using multiquadrics Radial Basis Functions (RBF). The transport equations are solved through explicit time stepping. The pressure-velocity coupling is calculated iteratively through a novel local pressure correction algorithm [2]. The node adaptivity is established through a phase-indicator and a node refinement strategy which takes into account dynamic number of neighbouring nodes. The proposed approach is used to solve the standard Gobin Le Quéré melting benchmark [3] with tin at Stefan number (Ste) 0.01, Prandtl number (Pr) 0.02, and Rayleigh number (Ra) 2.5e4. The node distribution changes through the simulation as the melting front advances. The solid is consequently computed at much lower node distribution density in comparison with the liquid which speeds up the simulation and at the same time preserves accuracy. The latter issue has been demonstrated by comparison with the results of other combinations of numerical methods and formulations which attempted this benchmark in the past.
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1 Introduction

The computational modelling of multiphase systems has become a highly popular research subject due to its pronounced influence in better understanding of nature as well as in the development of the advanced technologies. Melting of the polar ice caps, the global ocean dynamics, various weather systems, water transport, soil erosion and denudation, magma transport, ...; and manufacturing of nano-materials, improving casting processes, fossil and renewable energy studies, exploitation of natural resources..., are two typical contemporary research fields where multiphase systems play an important role. In most cases even the simplest useful multiphase physical models cannot be solved in a closed form and therefore numerical solution is required. The classical numerical methods such as the Finite Volume Method (FVM) [4], Finite Difference Method (FDM) [5-6], Boundary Elements Method (BEM) [7] or the Finite Element Method (FEM) [8] are used for solving these problems in the majority of the simulations [9-10]. Despite the powerful features of these methods, there are often substantial difficulties in applying them to realistic, geometrically complex three-dimensional transient problems. A common drawback of the mentioned methods is the need to create a polygonisation, either in the domain and/or on its boundary. This type of meshing is often the most time consuming part of the solution process and is far from being fully automated. The numerical simulations of engineering multiphase systems are mainly based on the averaged or mixture equations, defined on the arbitrary phases, with the interphase conditions, incorporated into the non-linearity of the governing equations. The proper numerical solution of these equations requires adaptation of the discretization in the vicinity of the moving boundary. The principal bottleneck in these types of numerical methods is the time consuming re-meshing of the evolving interphase boundaries and phase domains. The polygonisation problem is thus even more pronounced. The application of the alternative numerical methods to FVM and FEM, such as the mesh reduction [11-13] or meshless [14] methods, for phase change problems is relatively rare at the present, however the number of respective meshless publications is steadily growing. Different adaptive node distributions strategies have been used in past for different numerical methods in order to enhance the numerical effectiveness at physical intense behaviour or boundaries [15-21] however this paper is focused on the local h-refinement in meshfree context. The nodes are simultaneously added on the computational domain in order to improve the numerical approach. The local refinement approach is proposed and demonstrated in this paper.

2 Problem definition

The physical model solved in this paper is based on the classical De Vahl Davis natural convection benchmark test [22] with the phase change phenomena added. Phase change splits the domain into two different connected areas, occupied by the different phases. The liquid phase is described by incompressible Newtonian...
fluid while the solid phase is stationary. The energy transport is the same for both phases. The benchmark case was originally proposed by Gobin and Le Quéré [3]. The problem is modelled by three coupled PDEs and the Boussinesq approximation. The PDEs are mass, momentum and energy conservation equations where all material properties are considered to be constant.

\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0 \quad (1) \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}) = -\nabla P + \nabla \cdot (\mu \nabla \mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{b} \quad (2) \\
\frac{\partial (\rho T)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v} T) = \nabla \cdot (\lambda \nabla T) \quad (3) \\
\mathbf{b} = \rho_{ref} \left[ 1 - \beta_T (T - T_{ref}) \right] \mathbf{g}, \quad (4) \\
h(T) = c_p T + f_T^a \quad L \quad (5) \]

with \( \mathbf{v}(v_x, v_y), t, \rho, P, \mu, \mathbf{b}, h, \lambda, T, \rho_{ref}, \beta_T, T_{ref}, \mathbf{g}, c_p, f_T^a \) and \( L \) standing for velocity, time, density, pressure, viscosity, body force, enthalpy, thermal conductivity, temperature, reference density, thermal expansion coefficient, reference temperature, gravitational acceleration, specific heat, liquid volume fraction and latent heat, respectively. The pure substance phase change occurs at constant temperature and thus the enthalpy is discontinuous at the melting temperature. To avoid the numerical instabilities the enthalpy jump is smoothed by implementing a temperature interval similar as in the multicomponent phase change process. The liquid fraction is therefore formulated as

\[ f_L^e(T) = \begin{cases} 
1 & T \geq T_f + \delta T_L, \\
\delta T_L > T > T_f \quad \delta T_L, \quad T - T_{ref} / \delta T_L & \\
0 & T \leq T_f .
\end{cases} \quad (6) \]

with \( \delta T_L, T_f \) standing for smoothing interval and melting temperature, respectively. The results obtained with such phase-change interval smoothing are physically reasonable as long as the interval is small enough [23]. The introduced smoothing represents a standard approach for solving such problems [3]. We limit our subsequent discussion to 2D Cartesian coordinates \( p_x = x \) and coordinates \( \tilde{p}_x \). The boundary conditions and the initial state are set on a rectangular domain \( 0 \leq p_x = \Omega_x, \quad 0 \leq \tilde{p}_x = \Omega_{\tilde{x}} \)

\[ \psi(\Gamma, \tilde{\Gamma}) = 0 \quad (7) \\
T(p_x = 0, t) = T_H, \quad T(p_x = \Omega_x, t) = T_C = T_f, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{p}_x} \tilde{T}([p_x = 0,1], \tilde{\Gamma}) = 0 \quad (8) \]
\[ \psi(\Omega, t = 0) = 0 , \ T(\Omega, t = 0) = T_f \] (9)

with dimensionless quantities introduced as

\[ \tilde{p}_{x,y} = \frac{p_{x,y}}{\Omega_w}, \ \tilde{v}_{x,y} = \frac{v_{x,y} \Omega_{w,0} \rho c_p}{\lambda}, \ \tilde{T} = \frac{T - T_c}{T_H - T_C}, \] (10)

where \( T_H, T_C, \Gamma, \Omega, \Omega_w, \Omega_p \) and \( p(p_r, p_s) \) stand for hot side temperature, cold side temperature, domain boundary, domain interior, domain width, domain height and position vector. The problem is characterized by four dimensionless numbers; thermal Rayleigh number, Prandtl number, Stefan number and domain aspect ratio defined as

\[ \text{Ra} = \frac{|\beta_r (T_H - T_C) \Omega_h \rho^3 c_p^2 |}{\mu \nu}, \ \text{Pr} = \frac{\mu c_p}{\lambda}, \ \text{Ste} = \frac{(T_H - T_C) c_p}{L}, \ \Lambda_R = \frac{D_w}{D_H}. \] (11)

The problem is schematically presented in Figure 1.

![Figure 1: Problem schematics.](image)

### 3 Solution procedure

A two level Euler explicit time stepping scheme is used for time discretization. The domain and boundary are discretized into \( N = N_\Omega + N_r \) nodes of which \( N_\Omega \) nodes are distributed in the domain and \( N_r \) at the boundary. The spatial discretization is performed by using the local meshfree method where
overlapping local subdomains are used. An arbitrary scalar function $\theta$ is represented on each of the local subdomains as

$$\theta(p) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{basis}} \alpha_n \Psi_n(p)$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)$$

where $N_{basis}$, $\alpha_n$ and $\Psi_n$ stand for number of basis functions, interpolation coefficients and basis functions, respectively. The basis could be selected arbitrary however in this work only Hardy’s Multiquadrics (MQs) $\Psi_n(p) = \sqrt{\left(p - p^0\right)^T \left(p - p^0\right) / \sigma_c^2 + 1}$ with $\sigma_c$ standing for basis function free shape parameter are used. For non refined node distributions even smallest five nodded subdomain collocation works fine but with introduction of more complex refined nodes distributions small subdomain computations do not behave convergent anymore. To circumvent the problem, larger subdomains are selected with more stable weighted least squares approximation to determine coefficients $\alpha_n$. The subdomains are weighted by truncated Gauss weight function

$$W(p) = \begin{cases} \exp\left(-\frac{\|p^0\|^2}{\sigma_w^2}\right) & \|p - p^0\| \leq \sigma_T \\ 0 & \|p - p^0\| > \sigma_T \end{cases}$$ \hspace{1cm} (13)$$

where $\sigma_T$, $\sigma_w$ and $p^0$ stand for weight function truncation parameter, weight function shape parameter and central subdomain node position vector, respectively. The subdomain size is thus determined by the weight function truncation radius, where all nodes within this radius are used as subdomain nodes. With the constructed approximation function an arbitrary spatial differential operator ($L$) can be computed

$$L \theta(p) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{basis}} \alpha_n L \Psi_n(p) . \hspace{1cm} (14)$$

In the boundary nodes collocation instead of WLS is used in order to satisfy the boundary condition exactly [24]. In this paper five nodded subdomains are used in Neumann boundary nodes. In such nodes boundary node collocation equation is replaced by the boundary condition equation

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N_{basis}} \alpha_n \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \Psi_n(p) = 0 . \hspace{1cm} (15)$$

The spatial discretization problem is formulated in a vector form
with matrix dimension $\text{dim}(\Psi) = [N_{\text{sub}}, N_{\text{subgrid}}]$ where $N_{\text{sub}}$ stands for number of subdomain nodes. To maintain the general formulation both, the WLS and the collocation are considered through equation (16) where pseudo inverse of the non square WLS matrix $\Psi$ is computed by singular value decomposition and square collocation matrix is inverted by LU decomposition.

With defined time and spatial discretization schemes the general transport equation under the model assumptions can be written as

$$\frac{\theta_i - \theta_0}{\Delta t} = D\nabla^2 \theta_0 - \nabla \Theta \cdot (\rho \nu_0) + S_0$$

(17)

Where $\Theta_{\Omega, t} = \Theta(t)$ stands for the field value in the interior node with index $\Omega$ at current and next time step, $D$ for general diffusion coefficient and $S_0^0$ for source term. To couple the mass and momentum conservation a special treatment is required. The intermediate velocity is computed by

$$\hat{v} = v_0 + \frac{\Delta t}{\rho} \left( -\nabla P_0 + \nabla \cdot (\mu \nabla v_0) + b_0 - \nabla \cdot (\rho \nu_0 v_0) \right).$$

(18)

The equation (18) did not take in account the mass continuity and therefore pressure and velocity corrections are added

$$\hat{v}^{m+1} = \hat{v}^m + \hat{\nu}, \quad \hat{P}^{m+1} = \hat{P}^m + \hat{p}$$

(19)

where $m$, $\hat{v}$ and $\hat{P}$ stand for pressure velocity iteration index, velocity correction and pressure correction, respectively. Combining the momentum and mass continuity equations the pressure correction Poisson equation emerges

$$\nabla \cdot \nabla \hat{v} = \frac{\Delta t}{\rho} \nabla \cdot \hat{P}$$

(20)

Instead of solving the global Poisson equation problem the pressure correction is directly related to the intermediate velocity divergence

$$\hat{P} = \frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta t} \frac{\rho}{\nabla \cdot \hat{v}}$$

(21)

The proposed assumption enables direct solving of the pressure velocity coupling iteration and thus is very fast due to only one step needed in each node.
to evaluate the new iteration pressure and the velocity correction. With computed pressure correction the pressure and the velocity can be corrected as

$$\hat{\mathbf{v}}^{n+1} = \hat{\mathbf{v}}^n - \zeta \frac{M}{\rho} \nabla \hat{P}$$

$$\hat{p}^{n+1} = \hat{p}^n + \zeta \hat{P}$$

(22)

Where $\zeta$ stands for relaxation parameter. The iteration is performed until the criteria $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} < \varepsilon_v$ is met in all computational nodes.

Each simulation starts with the uniformly distributed initial nodes. At every predefined number of time steps $\sigma_\sigma^N$ all nodes are checked if refinement/derefinement is needed. If the refinement criterion is met, additional nodes are added or removed from the vicinity of the node. At each refinement maximum four nodes are symmetrically added around the refined node. Maximum allowed difference in the refinements between neighbouring nodes is set to one in order to keep the numerical approach as stable as possible. Important part of CPU complexity of the meshfree methods represents the selection of proper subdomain. The problem becomes even more important when working with dynamic node distribution. Truly meshfree methods should not use any topological information about the nodes connectivity, however in order to utilize fast subdomain selection the information about the local node neighbourhood (four symmetric neighbours) is stored within the node. The example of once and four times refined node is presented in Figure 2 where the arrows point to each node neighbourhood.

Figure 2: An example of one refined node (left) and four times refined node (right).
4 Results

The melting of a metal-like material is considered as a benchmark test. A detailed comparison of other cases proposed in the call [3] can be found in [1]. The present paper is focused on the application of a dynamic node distribution in such cases. The comparison of the melting front position after $\bar{t} = 10$ to the previously published data is shown in Figure 4.

![Figure 3: Solution at different time.](image)

The solid part of the domain is kept at initial node distribution density while the liquid part is $\sigma_n^{\max}$ times refined. As the melting front advances with the time, nodes are added to the area with governed liquid phase. Solution at different time is represented in Figure 3 where streamlines are plotted as dotted lines and temperature contours as solid lines. In Figure 3 the nodes distribution is plotted as well. At the beginning most of the domain is covered only with initial nodes due to its solid state. The advancing melting front leaves liquid phase behind it. The liquid phase is refined in order to handle much more complex fluid flow computations and the phase change phenomena. The number of computational nodes is therefore directly related to the domain average liquid fraction. In Figure 4 the comparison of average liquid fraction with relative number of domain nodes.
\[
N_D(t) = N(t)/N(40)
\] (23)

where \(N\) and \(N_D\) stand for the number of the nodes and relative number of nodes is represented. The comparison gives the expected results. The case has been computed with \(\zeta = \Delta t = 10^{-6}, \; \delta T_b / T_r = 0.01, \; c_v = 0.1, \; N(t) = 144, \; N_{\text{local}} = 6, \; \sigma_c = 90, \; \sigma_s = 1.7, \; \sigma_w = 0.7, \; \sigma_{\text{max}} = 50\) and \(\sigma_{\text{max}}^N = 6\).

![Image](image1.png)

Figure 4: Melting front comparison at \(t = 10\) and domain average liquid fraction (left). Relative number of computational nodes with respect to the fully refined liquid phase (right).

5 Conclusions

In this paper an application of the dynamic node distribution strategy in the thermo-fluid problems with phase change has been explored. The local meshfree method based on the weighted least squares approximation has been used for spatial discretization with two level Euler explicit time stepping for time discretization. To optimize the numerical effectiveness the computational nodes are added to domain based on the phase indicator. The liquid phase is covered with much denser nodes distribution in comparison with the solid phase of the domain thus the most complex computations are in the liquid part of the domain and its boundary. Nodes are constantly refined as the melting front is advancing from the hot side to the cold side of the domain assuring the appropriate node coverage of the liquid part. The nodes refinement algorithm is local as well as subdomain selection. The proposed solution procedure is completely local and therefore numerically very effective. The parallelization of the numerical code is almost trivial.

Future work will be focused on more complex physical situations as well as more involved node refinement/derefinement criteria that would take into account also the flow structure.
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